

A Sliver of Relativistic Quantum Field Theory: rQFT

most successful theory known to man

photons = "Quanta" particles of $F_{\mu\nu}$ field

e^- 's = "Quanta" particles of Ψ field.

Everything = Fields

particles = Quanta of fields!

See "Teaching Quantum Physics w/o Paradoxes"

Art Hobson, TPT Vol. 45 Feb 2007

pg 96 + 99

and "Electrons as field quanta: A better way to teach quantum physics intro gen phys courses"

Art Hobson, AJP 73, 630-634

July 2005

Normally: 1) Start w/ ψ
 2) use E-L equat of motion } all
 3) get Dirac equat } 4-vectors.

Here just get to it from Dirac.

Note: Natural units: **Side Note**

$$E_T^2 = p^2 c^2 + m_0^2 c^4$$

so $\boxed{mc^2 \text{ units of energy}}$

now $\underbrace{[\hbar]}_{\text{dim}} = \text{energy} \cdot \text{sec} \equiv 1$
 $\therefore [sec] = \frac{\hbar}{mc^2}$

$$[d] = [c][t] \quad ; \quad [E] = c \frac{\hbar}{mc^2} = \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{\hbar}{c} \right)$$

So

$m = \text{Fund unit}$

$$\hbar \equiv c \equiv 1$$

$$E = (m)(c^2) \quad t = \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{\hbar}{c^2} \right) \quad d = \frac{\hbar}{m c^2}$$

if m is given in energy units
 (ie MeV or GeV)

$$m (\text{MeV}, \text{GeV}) \equiv m_{\text{Energy}}$$

$$E = m_E \quad t = \frac{\hbar}{m_E} \quad d = \frac{c \hbar}{m_E}$$

From Robuick: Intro to Particles & Fields.

$$\hat{H}\Psi = i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} \equiv \text{Equat of Motion (EOM)}$$

use Dirac idea: Force $E_T = [p^2 c^2 + m_0^2 c^4]^{\frac{1}{2}}$

$$E_T = c \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta m_0^2 c^4$$

natural units $c = \hbar = 1$

$$\hat{H} = E_T = \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta m^2$$

$$\vec{p} = i\hbar \vec{\nabla}, \quad i\vec{\nabla}$$

$$(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta m^2) \Psi = i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}$$

$$\left[i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\nabla} + \beta m^2 \right] \Psi = 0$$

recall 4-vec, space time deriv

$$\partial_\mu \equiv \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \vec{\nabla} \right) \dots \text{ maybe rewrite all w/ 4-vectors. is there another?}$$

so maybe try

$$\beta \left(\text{everything} \right) \left[i \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i \beta \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\nabla} + \beta^2 m^2 \right] \Psi = 0$$

Convention in QFT

Now new 4-vector $\gamma^\mu = (\beta, \beta \alpha^i) = (\gamma^0, \gamma^i)$

Dirac
or
Gamma matrices

So $\gamma^\mu = 4$ -vector just as

$$x^\mu = (x^0, x^i) = (ct, \vec{x})$$

* (convent; μ, ν, λ
run over 4-space-time
coords

i, j, k run over 3 space
coords

so $\gamma^0 = \text{scalar}$
 $\gamma^i = \gamma^{1,2,3} = \text{vector}$

$$\gamma^\mu \text{ turn out} = (\gamma^0, \gamma^{1,2,3}) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right] \beta \sigma^1, \beta \sigma^2, \beta \sigma^3 \\ \sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3 \\ = \text{Pauli Spin} \\ \text{matrices.} \end{array} \right)$$

then rewrite as
entire Dirac Equation

$$(\hat{c} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \phi = 0$$

And

$$(i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \phi = 0 \quad \equiv \text{relativistically covariant Dirac Equation.}$$

Further: Feynman:

$$\gamma^\mu (\text{anything})_\mu = \text{4-vector dot prod w/ } \gamma^\mu$$

$$\equiv (\text{anything}) / = \text{"slash"}$$

so

Dirac Equation

$$(i \not{\partial} - m) \phi = 0$$

* story: Bethe (I think) said after conference w/ Schwinger & Feynman

that he ^(tried) worked on Schwinger's formalism but tough & all could

remember of Feynman's talk was introducing Feynman diagrams

& some slash notation!

Since $\not{\partial}_\mu$ built from $\gamma^\mu = (\gamma^0, \gamma^i)$

it series

$$(i \not{\partial}_\mu - m) \phi = 0$$

$$\phi \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 \\ \phi_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

ie 4 solutions

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 \\ \phi_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \omega/E \\ \\ \omega/-E \\ \end{matrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} e^-, \uparrow \\ e^-, \downarrow \\ e^+, \uparrow \\ e^+, \downarrow \end{pmatrix}$$

ϕ 's = Solu to Dirac eqn \equiv "SPINORS"
carries spin

Can see: SPIN = ? don't know, But relativity demands it

(Schröd \neq relativistic) had to add spin in

Feynman diagrams

